

**DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION PARTNER'S MEETING IN THE CONTEXT OF THE CENTRAL  
ASIAN COUNTRIES INITIATIVE FOR LAND MANAGEMENT (CACILM)**

**9-10 FEBRUARY 2006  
GLOBAL MECHANISM  
ROME, ITALY**

**Day One: Thursday 9 February 2006**

**Item 1: Welcome Note (GM & IFAD) & Introductory Note (ADB)**

Mr. Christian Mersmann, the Managing Director of the Global Mechanism (GM) welcomed the participants and stressed the need for enhancing co-operation between stakeholders for UNCCD implementation and underlined the important role the Strategic Partnership Agreement (SPA) has played in facilitating this cooperation. He asserted the GM's desire to collaborate with interested partners in order to strengthen CACILM through donor harmonization and in line with the GMs' Consolidated Strategy and Enhanced Approach. He also, informed the meeting that the Global Mechanism had concluded successful negotiations with the World Bank and that the Bank had communicated its intent on joining the SPA. Mr. Mersmann welcomed the World Bank to the SPA and looked forward to a constructive relationship.

Mr. Thomas Elhaut, IFAD's Director of the Asia and Pacific Division, pointed out that IFAD seeks to build partnerships within its broader strategy of tackling rural poverty in the region and as such was committed to working with the SPA and CACILM partnership. He stressed that donor harmonization is relevant in so far as it is aligned with country priorities and also, if donors are willing to demonstrate their commitment to the process. He stated that Central Asia is an emerging priority region for IFAD and in this context, had submitted the Central Asia Subregional Strategic Issues and Options Paper (SIOP) to IFAD's Executive Board in December 2005. The Strategy received the Boards' approval and will now guide IFAD's grant and investment programme amounting to USD 6-9 million over the next two years.

Mr. Robert Everitt, ADB, Senior Project Specialist and CACILM Task Manager reiterated ADB's support for the partnership and informed the meeting that the Task Force had completed the first part of the project and that now the national and multi-country frameworks needed to be enhanced for submission to GEF. He pointed out that country' expectations have been heightened and stressed that countries must articulate their needs in order to receive support. Also, he emphasized that the external agencies need to respond to the countries' needs and their call for capacity building.

**Item 2: Introduction of Participants, Meeting Objectives and Expected Outputs (GM)**

The participants introduced themselves and the GM presented the agenda and the objectives of the meeting. The objectives were the following: strengthen the engagement of existing partners; launch a discussion on the theory and practice of donor harmonization; and discuss the financing of the CACILM Phases.

**Item 3: Overview of CACILM (GM & ADB)**

The GM and ADB provided a short overview of the background to CACILM and the progress made to date. In the ensuing discussion, the following issues were raised:

- ✓ The implication of the CACILM Phases on respective partners' project and programming cycles;
- ✓ The priority to be given to capacity-building activities;
- ✓ The importance of demonstration sites to facilitate up-scaling; and
- ✓ The need for country-specific approaches to mainstreaming;

The GM informed the meeting that mainstreaming is the subject of current GM research and that the findings will be shared with the partners.

#### **Item 4: Enhancing Donor Co-ordination and Harmonization**

##### **1. Introductory Comments**

The ADB provided an overview of donor coordination and harmonization based on its experience, underlining the existing mechanisms of CACILM, such as SPA, national working groups, domestic consulting teams and multi-country workshops and task-force meetings. However, ADB highlighted the difficulties of harmonizing donor programme cycles and project implementation procedures and also stressed the need for:

- ✓ Flexibility in the coordination mechanism and to avoid undue stringency;
- ✓ Enhanced understanding of donors programming cycles and their respective strategic objectives;
- ✓ An effective information-sharing mechanism; and
- ✓ A structured agenda for the SPA for the next two years.

##### **2. Approach & Elements for Successful Harmonization (IFAD)**

Mr. Brian Baldwin, IFAD's Focal Point for the Global Donor Platform for Rural Development (GDPRD), made a presentation on the approach and elements for successful donor harmonization, including the main principles of the Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness and the GDPRD. His presentation covered the goals for harmonization and alignment, including the need for setting clear objectives upfront. He stressed the need for country ownership and the need to operationalise actions by establishing concrete targets, indicators, and timetables. He pointed out that "continuity" was difficult as it was individuals who made it happen and in this regard incentives ought to be considered. In addition, he shared the lessons learnt by IFAD, including the need to:

- ✓ establish joint mission schedules in conjunction with partner governments/institutions;
- ✓ identify partners according to their comparative advantages;
- ✓ agree to the project cycle timetable at an early stage;
- ✓ produce joint aide-memoires; and
- ✓ ensure clarity of financial interventions, procurement and financial reporting arrangements, in consultation with the partner governments.

##### **3. Bilateral Perspective (BMZ, Germany)**

Dr. Anna-Christine Janke of BMZ presented donor coordination and harmonization from a bilateral perspective, highlighting the fact that harmonization is a "must" under CACILM and that CACILM should not be confused as being only a financial support mechanism but also, a mechanism for engendering policy reform. She analysed the progress made to date, and highlighted that the SPA had increased transparency and that commitments to support the National Programming Frameworks (NPFs) were positive. She stated however, that there are still bottlenecks to donor coordination including limited capacity of the regional and country desks to commit to national and regional coordination, exchange, harmonization and policy reform. She proposed a practical approach to improve CACILM donor harmonization, at different levels.

At the multi-country level:

- ✓ creating a forum for sharing lessons and policy dialogue;
- ✓ linking up with other similar fora on donor harmonization i.e. GDPRD; and
- ✓ enhancing joint monitoring and evaluation for CACILM.

Between donors and governments:

- ✓ creating a forum for sharing lessons and policy dialogue;

- ✓ supporting policy development and committed leadership;
- ✓ enhancing the transparency of donor strategies; and
- ✓ referring actively to the National Programming Frameworks (NPFs) in the context of bilateral negotiations.

At national level:

- ✓ enhancing joint interventions (missions, evaluations, training, etc.);
- ✓ sharing experiences of local level projects;
- ✓ avoiding competition and exploiting opportunities for joint projects; and
- ✓ drawing on the comparative advantages of each donor.

#### **4. Discussion on Enhancing Donor Coordination and Harmonisation**

In the ensuing discussion, the following issues were raised:

- ✓ Is there a budget for harmonization?
- ✓ The importance of a continuing partnership forum and mechanisms for coordination among projects and knowledge management;
- ✓ The difficulty in aligning project cycles of donors
- ✓ The difficulty of harmonization at an operational level;
- ✓ The coherence between UNCCD NAPs and SRAP-CD and their reflection in CACILM.
- ✓ Should all funds be handed over to a national entity, or to a single Project Implementation Unit, or continued pursuit of parallel processes?

It was pointed out that while CACILM objectives were clear at the strategic level, they were more complex at an operational level because of each agency's different financing instruments.

Requests for support to capacity building and policy reform rather than the submission of a list of technical projects lent itself to a more sustainable process.

NPFs are the investment package of the NAPs and the CACILM Multi-Country Partnership Framework (CMPF) translates many of the SRAP-CD priorities into actions at the national level although there is a need to further elaborate them.

#### **Item 5: Overview of the CACILM Multi-country Partnership Framework (CMPF) and Donor Country/Subregional Strategies**

Dr. Khalida Bouzar, IFAD's GEF Unit Coordinator chaired the session.

##### **1. CACILM Multi-country Partnership Framework (CMPF)**

ADB presented the first draft of the CACILM Multi-country Partnership Framework (CMPF) and Donor Investment Table, underlining four multi-country components:

1. SLM-targeted research;
2. SLM information and monitoring system;
3. Knowledge management; and
4. Capacity-building.

ADB also described the proposed implementation arrangements at multi-country and national level. It was pointed out that in-depth discussions on the elements of the CMPF could be undertaken at the forthcoming Bishkek meeting in Kyrgyzstan.

During the discussion on the CACILM Investment Table, IFAD committed a grant of USD 1.5 million for multi-country activities.

##### **2. Donor Country/Subregional Strategies**

IFAD presented its Sub-regional Strategy for Central Asia, noting the following strategic priorities and financing opportunities:

- ✓ Priorities include land reform and ownership rights; natural resource management; grass roots participation; and rural financial services;
- ✓ Regional grant financing at the multi-country level; and
- ✓ Based on its Performance Based Allocation System (PBAS), the lending programme consists of approximate funding of USD 15-20 million for Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan over a five-year period and USD 10 million for Kazakhstan;

UNDP presented its six operational priorities:

- ✓ Poverty alleviation;
- ✓ Democratic governance;
- ✓ Energy and environment;
- ✓ Crisis prevention;
- ✓ HIV/Aids; and
- ✓ Gender issues.

Eighty percent of UNDP's programming resources come from non-core funding. In Central Asia, it annually disburses approximately USD 3 million from its smallest office (about 50 people) and between USD 15 – 20 million from its larger offices. UNDP, as a SPA and CACILM member, has prepared four GEF Medium-Size Projects (MSPs) to be included in the CACILM Investment Programme. The MSP for Turkmenistan is being formulated together with the CCD Project of GTZ. In addition, UNDP is implementing GEF's Small Grant Programme (SGP) in Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan and aims to include Tajikistan and Uzbekistan with an approximate amount of USD 250 000 per country per year.

BMZ informed the meeting that their strategy for Central Asia was updated in 2005 and as part of their poverty alleviation strategy, includes the following priorities:

- ✓ Support of democratization processes, the rule of law and civil competition;
- ✓ Support of socially and ecologically acceptable economic reforms;
- ✓ Securing basic social services.

CACILM is an important initiative for BMZ and is part of bilateral negotiations. The CCD Project of GTZ, a BMZ funded project is implementing five country projects.

FAO informed the meeting on its activities in Central Asia - including TCPs in Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan, in cooperation with CGIAR Centers. Interest was expressed for using the Land Degradation Assessment (LADA) initiative in Central Asia. The GM re-extended its invitation to FAO to join the SPA and to contribute its expertise to the CACILM initiative. FAO indicated that they would consult with the GM for joining the Partnership.

#### **Item 6: Synthesis of Uzbekistan's NPF, proposed investment programme and project concepts (GM/ADB)**

The GM presented a short synthesis of Uzbekistan's NPF after which ADB presented the proposed investment programme.

ADB pointed out that only two projects are confirmed - land improvement phase I and II - while the others are under discussion. The phase I land improvement project falls under CACILM Phase I with a total financing of USD 85.8 million divided respectively between Government, ADB and GEF according to the following breakdown: USD 22 mil; USD 60.8 mil; and USD 3 mil.

UNDP stated that it would submit the project “achieving ecosystem stability on the exposed Aral seabed and the Kyzylkum desert” as a MSP seeking USD 1 million GEF financing with co-financing commitments to be confirmed by the deadline. The meeting was informed that consultations are currently ongoing between UNDP and Uzbekistan’s National Working Group to identify the activities for the next phases of CACILM.

BMZ informed the meeting that the proposal for the possible extension of the reforestation of the dried Aral Sea bed is under review and no commitments can be confirmed prior to the submission to GEF/Sec.

## **Day Two: Friday 10 February 2006**

### **Item 1: Overview of Day 1 and Proposed Sessions for Day 2**

Dr. Anneke Trux, Project Coordinator of CCD Project of GTZ as chair of the session, listed the pending issues from Day 1 to be discussed during the afternoon, namely:

- ✓ Content and goals of the overall capacity-building project for inclusion in GEF3 including;
- ✓ Multi-country Partnership Support;
- ✓ Clarification of what is to be submitted under GEF3; and
- ✓ Follow up;

### **Item 2: Kyrgyzstan**

The GM provided a synthesis of Kyrgyzstan’s NPF and proposed including the Community-based Rangeland Management Project in Temir Village, co-financed by CIDA (USD 200 000) and the GM (USD 22 000), in the NPF.

Based on its Performance Based Allocation System (PBAS) and subject to country demand and processing of projects, IFAD made an indicative commitment of USD 6 million for the period 2006-2007 from its lending programme. It was pointed out that a project consistent with IFAD’s priorities would be selected from the NPF in consultation with the country. IFAD stated that it was seeking GEF3 co-financing for the project in the range of USD 3 million.

UNDP confirmed that it would submit a MSP project - Demonstrating Sustainable Mountain Pasture Management in the Susamyr Valley - seeking USD 1 million from GEF3 resources however it was unable to provide details on co-financing and committed to provide accurate information by the 1<sup>st</sup> March deadline. The meeting was informed that consultations are currently ongoing between UNDP and Kyrgyzstan National Working Group to identify the activities for the next phases of CACILM.

CCD Project of GTZ confirmed that the project titled “introduction of water saving technologies and effective energy approaches in rural areas” amounting to USD 180 000 would depending of GEF3 resources be scaled up to a MSP seeking USD 1 million or would remain to be co-funded through the SGP. BMZ provided clarification that contrary to what is noted in Table 4, Item 3.1, of Kyrgyzstan’s NPF, BMZ had not committed to financing the project and that further clarification was necessary.

ADB informed the meeting that the project entitled Second Agricultural Area Development Project had been re-named and was now referred to as the Integrated Land and Water Resources Management and Land Improvement project. Total financing amounts to USD 23.2 million divided respectively between ADB and GEF according to the following: USD 20.7 mil and USD 2.5 mil.

### **Item 3: Tajikistan**

The GM provided a synthesis of Tajikistan's NPF

UNDP confirmed that it would submit a MSP project - Demonstrating Local Responses to Combating Land Degradation and Improving Sustainable Land Management in SW Tajikistan - seeking USD 1 million from GEF3 resources however it was unable to provide details on co-financing and committed to provide accurate information by the 1<sup>st</sup> March deadline. In addition, the project title is to be clarified. The meeting was informed that consultations are currently ongoing between UNDP and Tajikistan National Working Group to identify the activities for the next phases of CACILM.

Based on its Performance Based Allocation System (PBAS) and subject to country demand and processing of projects, IFAD made an indicative commitment of USD 6 million for the period 2006-2007 from its lending programme. It was pointed out that a project consistent with IFAD's priorities would be selected from the NPF in consultation with the country. IFAD stated that it was seeking GEF3 co-financing for the project in the range of USD 3 million.

BMZ requested that the CCD Project of GTZ's Pilot Project should be included in the NPF under the section Integrated Resource Management; title of project and financing details to be provided.

ADB informed the meeting that the scale of governmental co-financing for ADB loans still had to be defined.

### **Item 4: Turkmenistan**

The GM and GTZ provided a synthesis of Turkmenistan's NPF

The financing opportunities available are the following:

- ✓ An MSP - Capacity Building and On-the-Ground Investments for Integrated and Sustainable Land Managements - is being developed together by UNDP and CCD Project of GTZ seeking USD 1 million from GEF3 resources with co-financing commitments to be confirmed by 1<sup>st</sup> March deadline; and
- ✓ Support through CACILM multi-country activities such as capacity building and training. With regard to the latter, it was proposed that funds from GEF3 could be considered for augmenting the GM's support to the SRAP-CD activity Subregional Training Programme on SLM amounting to USD 77 000 and implemented by Turkmenistan's National Institute for Deserts, Fauna and Flora (NIDFF).

Clarification was sought on the methodology for obtaining national co-financing. Also, given the limited presence of GEF IA/EAs working at country level a question on how GEF resources could be channelled to the country was raised.

### **Item 5: Kazakhstan**

The GM and GTZ provided a synthesis of Kazakhstan's NPF

ADB confirmed its TA of USD 600 000 and stated that loan financing was available upon government request.

Based on its Performance Based Allocation System (PBAS) and subject to country demand and processing of projects, IFAD stated that a loan of USD 9 million for 2006-2007 had been allocated to Kazakhstan. Upon government request these funds could be utilised for implementation of a CACILM project.

It was suggested that UNDP and GTZ should consult with the Kazakhstan Working Group regarding combining NPF projects 3.1, 3.3 & 3.4 into a single MSP seeking USD 1 million GEF financing to be financed under GEF3. Co-financing commitments will need to be confirmed by the deadline.

BMZ informed the meeting that Project 8.2 is under review and no commitments can be confirmed prior to the submission to GEF/Sec.

#### **Item 6: Content and goals of the overall capacity-building project for inclusion in GEF3 including**

Discussions emphasised that enhancing and coordinating investments for SLM was one objective of CACILM. In addition, as a precondition for long term sustainable investments, CACILM foresees the need for improving the enabling policy, regulatory, institutional and incentive frameworks and in this regard, capacity building becomes crucial. Despite all NPFs highlighting the need for undertaking work to improve the enabling environment, it was pointed out that the individual country projects developed for capacity building were not far reaching enough; many important areas in need of support for improving the enabling environment are missing.

The discussion pointed to two aspects of capacity building:

- all projects would at the project design stage need to build capacity building and training components into the respective projects
- projects would need to be developed that address aspects that transcend individual projects i.e. strengthening of institutions, cross-sectoral coordination, mainstreaming of SLM into budgets, policy and legal frameworks, analysis of policy, legal and economic frameworks, enhancing analysis and exchange of experiences between projects and CAC's

It was considered that part of the above activities would be undertaken as part of the terms of reference of the national CACILM secretariats. The outline of the capacity building project would therefore need to be coherent with the support to the national secretariats and their respective work programmes.

Discussions also considered the pros and cons of implementing the capacity building initiative as five separate projects or as a multi-country project with a common approach and components that could then be adapted to each country situation. There was agreement that the latter modality provided avenues for a more comprehensive and overall cohesive approach, cost-effectiveness and potential for inter-country exchanges of experience.

It was agreed that it should be a full-size phased project with approximately USD 1.5 – 2 million allocated from GEF3. UNDP expressed its willingness to undertake the capacity building activities. ADB indicated its interest in undertaking some elements of the capacity building initiative.

#### **Item 7: Multi-country Partnership Support**

The need for clear terms of reference for the National Secretariats was highlighted. In addition, it was noted that consideration should be given to linking the indicative budget allocations for the Secretariats to the overall extent of the programme. It was suggested that the National Secretariats would develop annual workplans based on the extent of their programmes for submission to the CACILM Secretariat. It was expected that the annual workplans would include activities related to improving the enabling environment and for undertaking mainstreaming.

### **Item 8: Clarification of what is to be submitted for GEF3**

Drawing from the discussions during the earlier sessions, a revised table titled “GEF3 and other confirmed financing” was presented by ADB. Further refinements were proposed to the table. Discussions on the allocation of GEF3 resources were discussed in-depth and there was a general consensus that in the spirit of partnership a certain level of flexibility would be required with regard to the sequencing (phase I and II) of some of the projects and also, with regard to the level of GEF3 funding being requested by respective GEF I/VEAs per project.

IFAD committed USD 1.5 million of grant financing for multi-country activities and requested that it be included in the revised table.

It was proposed that the following three projects should be removed from the table as they have already been completed:

- ✓ Sustainable Agricultural Practices in the Drought-affected Region of Karakalpakstan, Uzbekistan;
- ✓ Improving Rural Livelihoods through Efficient On-farm Water and Soil Fertility Management in Central Asia (RETA 5866) in all five CACs; and
- ✓ Addressing Land Degradation in Central Asia – also in all five countries. Enabling Sustainable Dryland Management through Pastoral Custodianship Project which UNDP proposes including in the next phase.

It was suggested to check whether the proposed research activities under the multi country project was considered “targeted” and in line with GEF OP 15 so as to avoid the submission being subjected to rigorous scrutiny by the Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel (STAP).

See attached revised table in Annex 3.

### **Item 9: Follow Up**

- ✓ Initiate a process to refine the NPFs and update the figures with current data;
- ✓ Clarify with the GEF Secretariat, the level of funding commitment to CACILM Phase II;
- ✓ Start planning Phase II of projects for submission to GEF-4;
- ✓ Obtain guidance from GEF/Sec whether GEF would prefer a modality that uses a system of “tranches” or alternatively a periodic submission of a revised CMPF Investment Plan
- ✓ Develop a mid-term Monitoring and Evaluation Strategy for the Partnership and include resources for this under the CMPF;
- ✓ Prepare a Concept Note on Capacity-building. ADB will prepare a first draft by 14 Feb 2006;
- ✓ Submit information to ADB on confirmed financing commitments to CACILM Phase I before 1 March 2006; and
- ✓ Convene an SPA meeting on 16 February 2006 at 6:00PM for urgent actions to be addressed;

In his closing speech, the MD of the GM expressed his approval of the outcomes of the meeting and thanked all the partners for their inputs.

**Development Cooperation Partner's Meeting  
in Context of the Central Asian Countries Initiative for Land Management (CACILM)  
9 – 10 February 2006  
Rome, Italy**

**FINAL AGENDA**

<b>Thursday, 9 February 2006</b>	
09:00 – 9:30	Chair – Christian Mersmann, Global Mechanism  Welcome Note – Christian Mersmann (Managing Director, GM) and Thomas Elhaut (IFAD, Director, Asia and Pacific)  Introductory Note by ADB – Robert Everitt, (CACILM Task Manager, Senior Project Specialist, Natural Resource Management)
09:30 – 10:00	Introduction of participants and presentation of the meeting's objectives, sessions and expected outputs - GM
10:00 – 10:30	Overview of CACILM – GM/ADB
10:30 – 10:45	Coffee Break
10:45 – 01:00	Enhancing donor coordination and harmonization <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Introductory comments – ADB (15 mins)</li> <li>2. Approach and elements for successful harmonization – Brian Baldwin, IFAD (15 - 20 mins)</li> <li>3. Bilateral perspective – Germany (15 - 20 mins)</li> <li>4. Discussion – inputs from participants</li> </ol>
01:00 – 02:30	Lunch
	Chair – Khalida Bouzar, IFAD
02:30 – 03:00	Overview of the CACILM Multi-Country Partnership Framework (CMPF) and donor investment table - ADB
03:00 – 04:30	Presentation of donor country/subregional strategies (max 10 mins per donor) Discussion on CMPF and donor strategies
04:30 – 04:45	Coffee Break
<b>UZBEKISTAN</b>	
04:45 – 05:15	Synthesis of Uzbekistan's NPF, proposed investment programme and project concepts – GM/ADB
05:15 – 06:15	Confirmation of donor commitments to Phase 1 and tabling of additional programme and project proposals, if any, for inclusion under Uzbekistan's NPF. Statements on indicative commitments to Phases II and III – donors
06:15 – 06:30	Wrap up of day 1
08:30 – 10:30	Dinner hosted by the Global Mechanism (Il Barroccio, Via dei Pastini 13)

<b>Friday, 10 February 2005</b>	
	Chair – Anneke Trux, CCD Project of GTZ
09:00 - 09:30	Overview of Day 1 proceedings and proposed sessions for Day 2
<b>KYRGYZSTAN</b>	
09:30 – 10:00	Synthesis of Kyrgyzstan’s NPF, proposed investment programme and project concepts – GM/ADB
10:00 – 11:00	Confirmation of donor commitments to Phase 1 and tabling of additional programme and project proposals, if any, for inclusion under Kyrgyzstan’s NPF. Statements on indicative commitments to Phases II and III – donors
11:00 – 11:15	Coffee Break
<b>TAJIKISTAN</b>	
11:15 – 11:45	Synthesis of Tajikistan’s NPF, proposed investment programme and project concepts – GM/ADB
11:45 – 12:45	Confirmation of donor commitments to Phase 1 and tabling of additional programme and project proposals, if any, for inclusion under Tajikistan’s NPF. Statements on indicative commitments to Phases II and III – donors
12:45 – 02:00	Lunch
	Chair – Christian Mersmann, Global Mechanism
<b>TURKMENISTAN</b>	
02:00 – 02:30	Synthesis of Turkmenistan’s NPF, proposed investment programme and project concepts – GTZ/ADB
02:30 – 03:30	Confirmation of donor commitments to Phase 1 and tabling of additional programme and project proposals, if any, for inclusion under Turkmenistan’s NPF. Statements on indicative commitments to Phases II and III – donors
03:30 – 03:45	Coffee Break
<b>KAZAKHSTAN</b>	
04:00 – 04:30	Synthesis of Kazakhstan’s NPF, proposed investment programme and project concepts – GTZ/ADB
04:30 – 05:00	Confirmation of donor commitments to Phase 1 and tabling of additional programme and project proposals, if any, for inclusion under Kazakhstan’s NPF. Statements on indicative commitments to Phases II and III – donors
05:00 – 05:30	Agreement on elements that should be included in the presentation to the 5 <sup>th</sup> CACILM Task Force Meeting - GM
05:30 – 06:00	Closing

**DONORS MEETING**  
**9 – 10 FEBRUARY 2006, ROME, ITALY**  
**LIST OF INVITEES**

NAME	POSITION & ORGANISATION	ADDRESS	TEL, FAX & EMAIL
<b>S P A M E M B E R S</b>			
<b>ADB</b>			
<b>Mr. Robert Everitt</b>	CACILM Task Manager, Senior Project Specialist - ADB	6 ADB Avenue, Mandaluyong City, 0401 Metro Manila, Philippines	Tel: +632 632 6130/ Fax: +632 6362186 Email: reveritt@adb.org
<b>IFAD</b>			
<b>Mr. Thomas Elhaut</b>	Director, Asia Division, IFAD	IFAD, Via del Serafico, 107, 00142 Rome, Italy	Tel: +3906 54592491/Fax: +3906 5443463 Email: t.elhaut@ifad.org
<b>Mr. Brian Baldwin</b>	Senior Operations Management Advisor	IFAD, Via del Serafico, 107, 00142 Rome, Italy	Tel: +3906 54592377 /Fax: +3906 5443463 Email: b.baldwin@ifad.org
<b>Mr. Tian Ya</b>	Country Programme Manager, IFAD	IFAD, Via del Serafico, 107, 00142 Rome, Italy	Tel: +3906 5459 2062/Fax: +3906 5443463 Email: y.tian@ifad.org
<b>Dr. Khalida Bouzar</b>	GEF Coordinator, IFAD	IFAD, Via del Serafico, 107, 00142 Rome, Italy	Tel: +3906 54592151/Fax: +3906 5443463 Email: k.bouzar@ifad.org
<b>Mr. Gyudam Cho</b>	Senior Economist / Central & East Asia	IFAD, Via del Serafico, 107, 00142 Rome, Italy	Tel: +3906 54592649/Fax: +3906 5443463 Email: g.cho@ifad.org
<b>BMZ</b>			
<b>Dr. Anna-Christine Janke</b>	Deputy Head Central Asia at Division Southern Caucasus and Central Asia	Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ), Germany	Tel: +49 228 5353509/Fax: +49 188 8105353509 Email: Anna-Christine.Janke@bmz.bund.de
<b>GTZ-CCD Project</b>			
<b>Dr. Anneke Trux</b>	Project Coordinator, CCD-Project, GTZ	Tulpenfeld 2, 53113 Bonn, Germany	Tel: 49 228-98371-0/Fax: 49 228-98371-25 Email: anneke.trux@gtz.de
<b>UNDP</b>			
<b>Mr. Vladimir Mamaev</b>	Regional Technical officer, UNDP Bratislava	UNDP Regional Centre for Europe and the CIS Energy and Environment Practice, Slovak Republic	Tel: +421 (2) 59337 267/+421 2 59337 450 Email: vladimir.mamaev@undp.org
<b>Mr. Gordon E. Johnson</b>	Deputy Resident Representative	UNDP Almaty, Kazakhstan	Tel: +7 3272 582643/ Fax: +73272582645 Email: gordon.johnson@undp.org
<b>Global Mechanism</b>			
<b>Mr. Christian Mersmann</b>	Managing Director, Global Mechanism	c/o IFAD Via del Serafico, 107 00142 Rome - Italy	Tel: +39 06 54592129/ Fax: +39 06 54592135 Email: c.mersmann@ifad.org
<b>Mr. Roshan Cooke</b>	Programme Manager, Global Mechanism	c/o IFAD Via del Serafico, 107 00142 Rome - Italy	Tel: +39 06 54592156/ Fax: +39 06 54592135 Email: ro.cooke@ifad.org
<b>Mr. Yerken Azhigaliyev</b>	Regional Environment Management Officer, Global Mechanism	c/o ICARDA, Tashkent PFU 6 Murtazayeva street, Tashkent 700000 - Uzbekistan	Tel: +998 (71) 1372169 ext#104; 998 71 1372130 Fax: +998 (71) 1207125 Email: y.azhigaliyev@ifad.org

<b>Ms. Jihane Salem</b>	Programme Assistant, Global Mechanism	c/o IFAD Via del Serafico, 107 00142 Rome - Italy	Tel: +39 06 54592153/ Fax: +39 06 54592135 Email: j.salem@ifad.org
<b>Ms. Ines Chaalala</b>	Intern, Global Mechanism	c/o IFAD Via del Serafico, 107 00142 Rome - Italy	Tel: +39 06 54592735/ Fax: +39 06 54592135 Email: i.chaalala@ifad.org
<b>FAO</b>			
<b>Mr. Dominique Lantieri</b>	Secretary, PAIA on Desertification	FAO HEADQUARTERS Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00100 Rome, Italy	Telephone: (+39) 06 57051 Fax: (+39) 06 570 53152 Email: Dominique.Lantieri@fao.org
<b>Ms. Barbra Cooney</b>	FAO GEF Focal Point	FAO HEADQUARTERS Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00100 Rome, Italy	Telephone: (+39) 06 57051 Fax: (+39) 06 570 53152 Email: Barbara.Cooney@fao.org
<b>Mr. Freddy Nachtergaele</b>	Senior Soil Scientist	FAO HEADQUARTERS Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00100 Rome, Italy	Telephone: (+39) 06 57051 Fax: (+39) 06 570 53152 Email: Freddy.Nachtergaele@fao.org
<b>Mr. Thomas Bachmann</b>	Agronomist	FAO HEADQUARTERS Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00100 Rome, Italy	Telephone: (+39) 06 57051 Fax: (+39) 06 570 53152 Thomas.Bachmann@fao.org
<b>Mr. Stefan Schlingloff</b>	Agricultural Officer, Regional Office for Europe	FAO HEADQUARTERS Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00100 Rome, Italy	Telephone: (+39) 06 57051 Fax: (+39) 06 570 53152 Email: Stefan.Schlingloff@fao.org



## GEF3 Financing and Projects

Title	Timeframe	Location	Partner(s)	Total Funding	Government/ Other	Donor	CACILM GEF-3	GEF Agency
CACILM National and Multi-country Partnership and Framework Design and Preparation	April 2005 – June 2006	Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan	National WGs, ADB, GM, GEF, cooperation with all SPA/Task Force partners	1750000	550 000	500 000	700 000	ADB
Rangeland Ecosystem Management	July 2006 - June 2010	Kazakhstan	Ministry of Environment Protection, Ministry of Agriculture; NGOs, local communities, (GTZ CCD)	3 400 000	TBD	2 400 000	1 000 000	UNDP
Integrated Agricultural Development and Land Improvement	December 2006 – June 2010	Kyrgyzstan	Ministry of Agriculture, Water Resources and Industrial Processing; ADB	23 200 000	TBD	20 700 000	2 500 000	ADB
Mountain Pasture Management in Susamir Valley, Kyrgyzstan	September 2006 – March 2010	Kyrgyzstan	Ministry of Agriculture, Water Resources and Industrial Processing; Chui Oblast, UNDP	1 950 000	170 000	805 000	975 000	UNDP
Rural Development	January 2007 – June 2010	Tajikistan	Ministry of Agriculture; State Committee on Land Management, ADB	21 500 000	1 000 000	17 000 000	3 500 000	ADB
Demonstrating Local Responses to Combating Land Degradation and Improving Sustainable Land Management in SW Tajikistan	July 2006 – March 2010	Tajikistan	State Committees on Environment and Forests and on Land Management, Tajik Forestry Research and Devel. Institute, UNDP	1 700 000	TBD	700 000	1 000 000	UNDP

Achieving Ecosystem Stability on the Exposed Aral Seabed and the Kyzylkum Desert, Uzbekistan	April 2005 – March 2010	Uzbekistan	State Committee for Nature Protection, Forestry Dept. of the Ministry of Agriculture and Water Resources, Hydrometeorological Administration, Academy of Sciences, Ministry of Economics, UNDP	3 810 000	114 000	2 696 000	1 000 000	UNDP
Land Improvement	January 2007 – December 2010	Uzbekistan	Min. of Agriculture and Water Resources, ADB	85 800 000	22 000 000	60 800 000	3 000 000	ADB
Capacity Building and On-the-Ground Investments for Integrated and Sustainable Land Management	January 2007- December 2009	Turkmenistan	UNDP, GTZ , other partners might be identified	2 050 000	800 000	250 000	1 000 000	UNDP
CACILM Multi-country Partnership Framework Support	July 2006 – December 2008	Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan	National WGs, ADB, GEF, and cooperation with all SPA partners	6 050 000	500 000	2 525 000	3 025 000	ADB
CACILM Multicountry Capacity Building Project	September 2006 – December 2008	Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan	National WGs, TBD, GEF, and cooperation with all SPA partners	4 600 000	500 000	1 800 000	2 300 000	TBD
<b>All Projects</b>				155 810 000	25 634 000	110 176 000	20 000 000	